UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,524	04/01/2004	Hubert Schalk	4100-339	9895
	7590 12/07/2007 ΓΑΝΙ, LIEBERMAN &	EXAM	EXAMINER	
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			DESAI, HEMANT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Intonvious Summany	10/816;524	SCHALK, HUBERT			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hemant M. Desai	3721			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Hemant M. Desai</u> .	(3)				
(2) Alphonso Collins.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>03 December 2007</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 14</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Schmidt (1,471,755)</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims yould render the claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO			
	• .				
•		. ,			
Francisco Makes Wasser at the title forms of the 92 and	Homan	& Josan			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Paper No. 20071204

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued about the 10 millimeters distance between the two folding blade carriers. Examiner cleared his position by explaining Applicant that the rejection is made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to space the folding blades apart by 10 millimeters for the reasons given in the rejection (see final rejection sent out on 8/14/2007 and under the "Reponse to Arguments") and therefore the rejection is deemed proper.

HEMANT M. DESAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER